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International wrestling star, George Hackenschmidt, widely known as “The Russian Lion,” met the American champion, Frank Gotch, at Chicago’s Dexter Park Amphitheater on April 3, 1908, in a wrestling title bout that was labeled “The Athletic Contest of the Century” on the cover of the match program. After three preliminary bouts, the much anticipated World’s Heavyweight Wrestling Championships in the catch-as-catch-can style began at approximately 10:30 P.M. More than two hours later, reporters scrambled to file their stories in the early hours of the morning and share their ringside intelligence with an anxiously awaiting nation and world. The news they sent out from Chicago, however, was totally unexpected. The impossible had happened; the undefeated “Russian Lion” had been tried and found wanting. Gotch, the American, had won!

The journalist, like the historian, must make decisions about how he or she presents the facts. While modern journalists are taught to be objective and fair—to focus on the “Who, What, When, Where, Why and How” of the story—the reality is that most journalists (in 1908 as well as 2010) write from a particular set of socio-cultural biases. As Karl Manoff and Michael Schudson argue in Reading the News, reporting is actually “a double reality, both separate from the world it tells stories about and a constituent of that world, an element of the story.” The reporter, they argue, “not only relates stories but makes them.” Similarly, pop culture analyst Carlin Romano contends that journalism is not a “mirror placed before reality,” but a “coherent narrative of the world that serves a particular purpose.” Thinking about journalism in light of this definition makes it easier to understand how in the days following the historic Gotch-Hackenschmidt bout such different tales would be told by various journalists even though all of them had watched the same sporting event. Like the characters in John Godfrey Saxes’s poem, “The Blind Men and the Elephant,” almost all of the reporters who penned their reports from Chicago had a slightly different interpretation of what they experienced that evening. An investigation of these newspaper accounts reveals that while some aspects of their descriptions of the match are similar, many reporters took issue with Gotch’s tactics that evening and felt that he had not lived up to the cultural expectations of what it meant to be a man and a good sport in the early twentieth century. However, it must be said, others also felt that Hackenschmidt had not acted totally with honor.

After more than one hundred years, some wrestling fans still consider the 1908 Hackenschmidt-Gotch match
to be one of the most significant ever. It was the match that catapulted Gotch from merely local hero status into an international super-star and in a way it was also a harbinger of professional wrestling’s future. For, despite the fact that the match resulted in an undisputed world champion, the controversy over who did what remains the most important aspect of the match. In fact, much like the debate that rages in the modern era over whether we should regard as legitimate the records made in those sports where performance enhancing drug use is now ubiquitous, Gotch’s name will always have an asterisk beside it on the list of title holders in the minds of many wrestling aficionados and scholars.

No such asterisk appears, however, on either the website www.frankgotch.com or in the 1990 biography Frank Gotch: World’s Greatest Wrestler, both written by amateur historian Mike Chapman. Chapman paints an almost saintly picture of Gotch, claiming that he was rough but that he always wrestled within the rules and that he justly earned the title. Conversely, Chapman presents a negative image of Hackenschmidt, suggesting that the Russian wasn’t on the same competitive level with Gotch because of his poor conditioning and ineffective match strategy. Writes Chapman, “Gotch had simply outsmarted Hack in the ring in 1908. Knowing how strong Hack was, Gotch devised a strategy to move him around the ring, head snap him, under hook him, and tire him out.” An examination of the various reports immediately surrounding the match, however, suggests that Gotch’s victory cannot wholly be attributed to the fact that he was simply “smarter.” There is, in fact, strong evidence to suggest that Gotch used dubious tactics that the referee showed favoritism to the American contender.

As Hackenschmidt and Gotch counted down the days to their title match, newspapers throughout the United States and Europe covered every possible angle of the story. In 1908, Hackenschmidt was truly an international celebrity, perhaps the most famous athlete in all of sports at the time. As often happens in title fights, nationalistic rhetoric became part of the event’s coverage. So, while Hackenschmidt was the reigning champion, he was also in the eyes of many newspaper readers in America a “foreigner,” and so patriotic sentiment suggested that the Iowa farm-boy—Frank Gotch—should be supported by the Chicago fans. A scrappy wrestler born to poor German immigrant farmers in the small community of Humbolt, Iowa, Gotch was relatively new to the international arena in 1908. The title defense had been awarded to Gotch because he had won the American title from Tom Jenkins in two controversial and vicious matches in 1905 and 1906. However, unlike
Hackenschmidt and most other wrestlers who then set off on international tours to challenge “any and all comers,” Gotch stayed in America after his victory over Jenkins, and only occasionally took on those few foreigners that ventured to America’s heartland.13

Prior to the Hackenschmidt match, most journalists gave Gotch little chance to win however much they hoped to the contrary. The grapplers’ records against common adversaries seemed to indicate an overwhelming victory for Hackenschmidt. Hack had easily beaten Tom Jenkins and Joe Rogers, while Gotch was known to have lost to these opponents and if he did win he had had to struggle mightily.14 New York journalist Horace Lerch wrote, “It has all along been conceded that Hackenschmidt as a strong man is superior to Gotch,” before continuing on to comment that all was not totally lost as Gotch’s quickness did offer him a “fine chance to win.”15 Ironically, many wrestling experts from that period and in subsequent years have argued that the main reason for Hackenschmidt’s dominance in the ring was his power—a combination of his lightning speed and his strength. (Remarkably, at the age of 75, Hackenschmidt was able to do a standing jump over a stretched rope at a height of approximately 36 inches.) Other wrestling “experts” explained that Gotch’s favorite catch-as-catch-can style of wrestling gave him the greatest chance at winning.16

In any case, the entire sporting world knew that “The Russian Lion”—the reigning world champion—had been undefeated for at least the previous six years and had defended his title dozens of times. Hackenschmidt’s strength was legendary; he began his career in weightlifting, where he surpassed the mighty Sandow’s records, but then realized that he had greater earning potential as a professional wrestler.17 After he began his career—his first official match was in 1897 when he was only twenty—he had been virtually unbeatable.18 Unlike Gotch, however, Hackenschmidt travelled the world and had significant matches during the early years of his career in cities such as London, Paris, Vienna, St. Petersburg, Melbourne, and New York City. It was in Madison Square Gardens in New York, in fact, that in 1905 Hackenschmidt defeated the American champion, Tom Jenkins, for the second time and solidified his claim that he was the undisputed world champion.

As a wrestler, Hackenschmidt favored Greco-Roman matches, a form of wrestling that features upper body holds where his great power gave him the advantage. However, while the Chicago match with Gotch was by no means his first catch-as-catch-can bout—it was certainly not his favorite style. The newspapers hyped Hackenschmidt, who was born in 1877 to middle class parents in Dorpat, Estonia, as the perfect man and often compared him to Eugen Sandow.19 He was, the papers claimed, physically magnificent, exceptionally strong, intelligent, and cultured.
Hackenschmidt was an exceptional man, fluent in at least five languages and comfortable in the presence of royalty and intellectuals as well as athletes. Honest as well as modest, he fit England’s and Europe’s idea of an ideal sportsman. Although he was not born an aristocrat, he embraced the manners and attitudes of the upper classes, including its strict moral code regarding ideas of sportsmanship.

On the evening of the big match at Dexter Park, approximately 10,000 people purchased tickets to watch the champions battle.20 As the match opened, Hackenschmidt immediately went on the offensive and tried to lock his hands behind Gotch in a “bear-hug” grip. The bear-hug was one of Hack’s favored methods of subduing an opponent as it allowed him to use his prodigious strength to hold the other man captive while he applied pressure to his opponent’s chest, cutting off his wind. But on this fateful night, when Hackenschmidt tried to grab and pull Gotch in, the American slipped away. Hack lunged again, grabbed Gotch, but then felt him once again slip through his fingers. Surprised, Hack wrestled on, struggling to take any kind of effective hold against Gotch. However, as Gotch’s body warmed from their exertions, Hackenschmidt began to understand why Gotch was so hard to capture; Gotch had rubbed oil into his skin. For the remainder of the match, although Hackenschmidt repeatedly tried to grab Gotch, he was unable to take a firm hold. Hackenschmidt suggested to Referee Edward Smith that both men be forced to go back to their locker rooms and take a shower to remove the oil.21 While this request seems absolutely reasonable on the face of what many in the audience could see, it was denied by the referee. It is interesting that in the reports written after the match, many American reporters saw nothing wrong with Gotch’s use of oil. John Butnam’s article in the Minneapolis Tribune, for example, reported that the referee regarded Hack’s complaints as “childish,” and laid the blame for the match’s conclusion at Hackenschmidt’s door, arguing that he should have noticed the oil prior to the match starting.22 T.S. Andrews of The Evening Wisconsin took a similar view in an article published eight days after the match. Andrews claimed that the use of oil was “not a new trick” and that Gotch’s coach, the famous wrestler Farmer Burns might have been behind...
Because the fight was being filmed, an unusually large number of lights surrounded the ring making this action shot possible. Gotch is on the left, Hackenschmidt, in the trunks on the right. Smith, the referee, is dressed in the tuxedo.

the decision to use it. Andrews also claimed that he had had verification from someone in Gotch's camp that the American had used oil on his body. However, like Referee Smith, Andrews did not view Gotch's use of oil as an ethical problem. Andrews wrote that the match was “on the level,” and defended his position by pointing out that Hackenschmidt had had the same opportunity to use oil that Gotch had had. He even went so far as to suggest that Hackenschmidt should have found better managers who would have helped him learn such tricks.23

Hack's frustration was heightened by the fact that Gotch failed to engage him. Rather than staying within arms' reach, Gotch danced around the mat like a boxer trying not to be hit. Wrestling historian Mike Chapman claims that Gotch's tactics were not dissimilar to those of Muhammad Ali in Zaire in 1974 when he employed the “rope-a-dope” defense against George Foreman.24 However, Chapman’s analogy is not accurate. Ali did not stay away from Foreman in that famous fight; he did just the opposite. He lay back on the ropes and invited Foreman to give him everything he had. Ali took brutal punishment that night and only when Foreman had punched himself into a state of near exhaustion did Ali come off the ropes in the closing seconds of the eighth round and knock Foreman out.25 Further—while Ali’s tactics in Zaire were far from his usual “float like a butterfly, sting like a bee” approach to fighting—no one has ever argued that what went on in the ring was not a clean fight; it was just unconventional.26 In the Gotch-Hackenschmidt match, however, in those rare moments when the two men were in a clinch, Gotch reportedly used a number of other unsportsmanlike tactics, including repeatedly trying to use his thumbs to gouge Hackenschmidt's eyes. George Siler's account, from The Chicago Tribune’s media seat, saw “the American’s rough work, principally thumbing of the eyes” and heard the audience “hissing” at Gotch for his actions.27 Horace Lerch, sporting writer of The Buffalo Express, wrote, “Gotch is rough. Squirming, twisting and slipping, the challenger for the title never lost an opportunity to work his fingers and thumbs somewhere in the vicinity of Hack’s optics.”28 Hackenschmidt complained to Referee Smith about Gotch's tactics. According to Lerch’s, account, Smith ignored the complaint and simply “kept walking.”29 Weightlifting historian David P. Willoughby, writing sixty years after the match, argued that “Gotch had resorted to every unfair tactic he could think of—oiling his body, rubbing the oil into Hackenschmidt’s eyes, butting, gouging, scratching and even punching the Russian in the nose.”30 Willoughby's source for this information, Ivan Linow, while undoubtedly biased in Hackenschmidt's favor, was nonetheless not just in the audience, but actually at ringside that evening. In the 1927 interview with Linow, who served as a training partner for Hackenschmidt before both the 1908 and 1911 bouts that Hackenschmidt had with Gotch, Linow emphatically stated that Gotch was oiled, and had been “bulling” Hackenschmidt by head-butting him, trying to gouge his eyes, and that the American used other normally illegal tactics.31 Despite the fact that even the audience was upset with Gotch’s dishonest tactics and frequently “hissed” at him for fouling and cried “Cut it out!” at Gotch's brutality, Ed Smith, the referee, claimed in an article for the New York Evening Journal after the match that what he called the “Gotch transgressions” were not “worthy of more than a caution. I did not see that he did his broad opponent any harm at all, and the ‘bulling’ was nothing more than is customary in any match seen in this country, especially where the affair is of any importance.”32

Smith’s notion that sportsmanship and rule adherence was different in America is a critical point to consider. Rather than feeling outrage or revulsion at what was apparently open cheating on Gotch’s part, Smith and many in the Fifth Estate excused it as merely another example of American ingenuity and can-do attitude. Hackenschmidt, however, product of a more ethical sporting culture that equated manliness with honor and integrity, was apparently not able to countenance such questionable tactics. Nor, however, could he quit the bout, for he had given his word to compete and he
knew that even though the Americans chose to follow a different set of rules than he’d agreed to, there was still an audience watching the match who deserved his best efforts. What’s more, he also knew that had he retired in protest, he might not have been paid.

Catch-as-catch-can wrestling was widely practiced in late nineteenth century America and, as wrestlers could take holds below the belt as well as above, it differed from the Greco-Roman form of wrestling normally practiced by Hackenschmidt. By 1908 Greco-Roman wrestling was an official Olympic sport and so it not only had a formal set of rules to govern behavior during bouts, but it was also influenced by Olympic ideology and sports practices. Catch-as-catch-can wrestling, on the other hand, still had no such structure; it thrived in America’s rural areas and was un governed by any national agency. Catch-as-catch-can wrestlers tried to pull a man down on the mat and subdue him using intricate holds and pressure points (“bone-bending” as historian, David Webster, calls it). It was a much rougher and less refined method than Greco-Roman wrestling and it was favored by men who had learned their combat sports in rough and tumble America—men who weren’t concerned with being regarded as “good sportsmen.” Consequently, eye-gouging, head-butt ing, biting, and other nefarious tactics were commonly used in what were essentially “no holds barred” bouts. Further, when carnivals and circuses began travelling the length and breadth of America in the second half of the nineteenth century they helped spread the sport as nearly every show had some wrestler or strongman attached to it who would take on all comers in matches that were, in many ways, very similar to our modern Mixed Martial Arts contests. However, just as boxing had become civilized and gained in popularity by adopting the Marquis of Queensbury Rules, wrestling promoters at the turn of the twentieth century also understood that when they set up a formal match—like the Gotch-Hackenschmidt bout—rules limiting these more barbaric tactics had to be in place if the general public was going to buy tickets. So, while it can be argued that many Americans may have found Gotch’s head-butt ing and eye-gouging somewhat acceptable and even laudable, his actions were still clearly against both the letter and the spirit of the rules.

Historians Richard Holt and J.A. Mangan have suggested that sport heroes “reflect [their society’s] values, aspirations and ambitions.” Historian Paul Gilchrist would have described both Gotch and Hackenschmidt as exemplifying “the purity of achievement in a distinctly cultural location” and being “a representative of what is publicly valued by [his] community.” In an era in which many Americans were worried by the influx of immigrants to their shores Hackenschmidt’s heavy accent as well as his apparent wealth and aristocratic attitudes were less attractive to some Americans than the heroics of a Horatio Alger-like farm boy whose rise to the top in wrestling was symbolic of the struggles of America’s lower classes.

In any case, throughout the match, under Smith’s lenient eye Gotch continued
Gotch openly taunted him, while the referee denied Hackenschmidt’s request, stating, “I am here to stay all night if necessary.”

In Mike Chapman’s self-described “fictionalized biography,” entitled Gotch: An American Hero, he claims that Gotch’s victory came from his superior cardiovascular conditioning. There is perhaps some truth to this as Hackenschmidt had not been involved in a match of such length for a number of years and he did not really interrupt his travel and exhibition schedule to any major degree to prepare for the fight. Newspaper interviews with Gotch prior to the match indicate that his plan was to wear down the foreigner since his informants had told him that Hackenschmidt was not in the best of shape, but he also told reporters that he had plans afoot to upset the cool, calm, gentlemanly demeanor of Hackenschmidt. And so throughout the match Gotch jeered at Hackenschmidt, taunting him and using language, Hackenschmidt claimed, that no gentleman would use in public. Ring-side attendants later reported they heard “a running fire of ridicule” that undoubtedly accounted for Hackenschmidt’s complaints to Smith concerning comments and words he would not want his mother and sister to hear. However, again, Referee Smith found no reason to censure Gotch. Gotch was heard to retort, “You are in America now.”

As the match wore on, closing in on two hours without a break, Hackenschmidt finally began to tire as Gotch kept slipping away and the American was able to take the offensive at last. According to Smith, Gotch got Hackenschmidt down on the mat, and was working toward getting the champion into his favored submission move—the toe hold—when Hackenschmidt could see that he was close to being pinned and asked for a forfeit. Perhaps Hackenschmidt wanted to salvage his reputation by being able to later claim that at least Gotch hadn’t pinned him, or perhaps he’d simply had enough of...
the one-sided refereeing and of Gotch’s willingness to flaunt all conventions of sportsmanship. In either case, just a few minutes past the two hour mark the match was finally over since Hack signaled to Smith that he quit and left the arena amid “catcalls and howls of derision.” Almost immediately American flags began flying in the arena and, while Hack walked backed to the locker room, many in the audience charged the ring—as Gotch’s arm was raised by Smith—to celebrate what was viewed as a national victory.

As the newspaper reporters scurried into the night to write their stories and telegraph them to their hometown papers, amazingly different versions of the events began to emerge, some of which have already been described. Articles appeared praising Gotch’s undefeated defensive system of wrestling, explaining how skill surpassed strength, and arguing that Gotch was too quick for the foreigner. At the same time, Hackenschmidt was described as “having his feelings hurt,” “having a tissue paper heart,” having no courage, “showing the white feather,” and “showing his canary streak.”

Not surprisingly, the British press, who regarded Hackenschmidt as one of their own because he was then living in London, was consistently more skeptical of the events in Chicago and protested the treatment Hackenschmidt received while in America. One British paper opened its report with the lengthy headline: “Hackenschmidt Beaten: Loses Prize Fight to American Wrestler: Amazing Scenes: Struggle Like a Brutal Prize Fight.” A Sportsman writer asserted, “Hackenschmidt did not lose the championship, but simply withdrew from a most revolting and unsportsmanlike exhibition of butchery and not from a fair and square wrestling match. Hackenschmidt is thoroughly English in the sense that he is absolutely fair and square.”

Does not Hackenschmidt know that to have your eyes gouged out and your ear bitten off and your body torn and scratched by the purposely long talons of an opponent opportunely greased as were the Spartans at Thermopylae is part of the game or sport of wrestling? Gotch is ‘an ideal American.’ He is ‘a past master of all the little trickery that verges on the illegal and unfair.’ So says the referee, and he ought to know. ...As for Hackenschmidt — what would you? ...These Slavs are but savages. They do not comprehend that all is fair in war, and that in America an American must win, come who may.

The easy-going referee did not seem to have made the least effort to prevent these foul tactics which were often repeated, even after spectators — by no means friendly towards the Russian — felt constrained to protest in a spirit of common justice. This is all extremely regrettable, and we must say that victory would have been more gratifying to our national vanity had the encounter been tolerably clean.

And, in another article, the reporter described Gotch’s tactics as unsportsmanlike and despicable. “Verbally he taunted the Russian in a disgraceful manner and his every movement was unfair to the impartial spectator, but apparently everything in his favour went with the referee.” Still others described Hackenschmidt’s countenance the next day “as if he had been in a prizefight instead of a wrestling match today. The left side of his head was badly swollen and his left eye was inflamed. His eyelids were swollen and lacerated.” Another description that circulated in the media concerning the treatment of Hackenschmidt by both Gotch and Referee Smith includes the following:

Gotch used foul tactics of a sort that would have fully justified the referee in disqualifying him. He jammed his thumbs into Hackenschmidt’s eyes. On one occasion, when
Hackenschmidt had twisted the American’s thumb, Gotch retaliated by smashing him time and again in the face with his fists until the blood spurted out and ran over the Russian’s face and body in streams. Time and again he butted viciously.

It was evident that Gotch was determined to win at any cost, and the referee did not interfere.

The end of the contest was dramatic. Hackenschmidt, with his eyes fearfully lacerated, his nostrils torn, his lips and face battered almost beyond recognition, smeared from head to foot with his own blood that streamed from a score of wounds on his head and arms and body, went to the mat at last, with Gotch on top. He was half-blind ed, dazed and weary. Immediately Gotch leaped upon him like a savage, driving his knees into Hackenschmidt’s body and “roughing” in the style used when football in America was legalised (sic) manslaughter. The Russian suddenly quitted the struggle, and appealed to the referee, as he had a score of times before during the bout. The audience hissed and hooted.

Chapman claims the brawny Iowan was the “World’s Greatest Wrestler.” However, our analysis of the journalistic coverage surrounding the 1908 Gotch-Hackenschmidt wrestling match clearly demonstrates that Gotch was allowed to use and did use illegal and dishonorable tactics to achieve his victory. To employ a doping analogy again, it’s like saying that confessed steroid user Mark McGwire was a better hom run hitter than Babe Ruth. Chapman bases part of his claim, of course, on the fact that when Hackenschmidt returned to America in 1911 for a rematch with Gotch in the then newly-finished Comiskey Park he was once more defeated by Gotch and then decided to permanently retire. As in the first bout, however, there were extenuating circumstances associated with this second loss to Gotch, including a major injury to the Russian’s knee right before the bout.

As for which man really deserved the title of “World’s Best Wrestler,” no less an authority figure than wrestling promoter, Jack Curley, who managed both Gotch and Hackenschmidt at some point in their careers, wrote in 1934, “In my opinion the greatest of all great wrestlers is or was George Hackenschmidt, the Russian Lion. George possessed brain, strength, speed, knowledge of holds, leverage, courage, and if there is any word left out that goes to make a great wrestler I am willing to go on record to add that he had that too.”

From the historian’s vantage point, however, what is most significant and interesting about this match is that the controversy surrounding Gotch’s victory still has “legs” more than one hundred years later and continues to be discussed on the internet and in nearly all works of wrestling history.

Like the Black Sox Scandal of 1919, the idea that a major sporting event was, in effect, rigged continues to tantalize us and those interested in the history of wrestling seem inevitably to champion one man over the other. Unfortunately, the one primary source that could have shed considerable light on the bout is, apparently, lost to history. That source is a 15 minute synopsis of the legendary bout put on film by match promoter W.W. Wittig and released in April of 1908. Wittig had the entire match filmed but then only released fifteen minutes of film to the public. However, even that short version has apparently not survived.

As research into the journalistic coverage surrounding the championship match reveals, the nationalistic overtones of the bout suggest that the essentials of true sport—fair play, a level playing field, and impartial officiating—were so far removed from the squared circle in the Dexter Park Amphitheater in April of 1908 that it may not be an exaggeration to say the outcome of the bout was settled the minute Hackenschmidt signed his name on the contract. Although Hack failed to realize it at the time, he took a knife to a gunfight, and then they wouldn’t let him use his knife.

It seems to be beyond argument that a genuinely confident Gotch, had he believed that he would be required to wrestle within the rules of the sport in such a widely watched title bout, would not have resorted to illegally oiling his body. Nor would he have hit his opponent with his closed fists, or head-butt him, or scratched him, or tried to gouge his eyes, especially since any of these rule violations, by themselves, should have caused his disqualification had they been repeated and intentional, as they apparently were.

A careful reading of the contemporary accounts of the match plus an understanding of the profound dif-
ferences between a culture based on “Play up, play up, and play the game,” and one based on a belief to which Oakland Raiders owner Al Davis gave voice years later when he famously said, “Just win, baby,” provide an understanding of how such a controversy occurred. As was stated earlier, a comparison of the records of Gotch and Hackenschmit against the same opponents suggests that Hack would have prevailed over Gotch fairly quickly and easily. In fact, it’s probable—had referee Ed Smith disallowed only the body oil while allowing the gouging, hitting, and butting—that Hack would have still overwhelmed Gotch and pinned him because the American would have been too busy defending himself to use such tactics. Conversely, it’s also possible—had Smith overlooked the oil but stopped any punching, gouging, and butting—that Hack, without being bothered by such tactics, would have been able to close with the slippery Gotch and finally secure a winning hold.

What apparently happened, however, is that Referee Smith, out of either rank favoritism, a preference for a no-holds-barred style of wrestling, or both, somehow communicated his intentions to Gotch either before the match or during its first few minutes. Gotch, thus freed from standard officiating, had his way in what, for the Russian Lion, was wrestling’s version of a perfect storm.
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